

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/026,295	12/20/2001	Tamenobu Yamamoto	12301/2	8106	
26646	7590 01/10/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP			TRAN, THAO T		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ik				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/026,295	YAMAMOTO ET AL	- -				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thao T. Tran	1711					
ре	pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. r on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ollowing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or appliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the							
e of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no rethan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 17(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have an and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 is statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)							
ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. st be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). on, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	j the issues for				
a))		•					
n(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Cos): allowable if submitted in a separate	•	` ,				
	□ will not be entered, or b) □ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
	ut before or on the date of filing a lend of the sufficient reasons why the affidation						
ling	g a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	f, will <u>not</u> be				

Advisory Action	10/026,295 YAMAMOTO ET AL.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thao T. Tran	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
• •	THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or	itter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))							
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will g vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Final rejection still stands. In the Final rejection of 8/5/2005, the examiner inadvertently stated that "the claim language is directed to a polyamide layer, thus open to whether the layer is oriented or not". The examiner would like to correct this statement by asserting that whenever a layer is oriented, the orientation is never complete, but rather only partially oriented and thus comprising partial non-orientation. Hence, the polyamide layer of the patent '991 would still read on the presently claimed invention. With respect to how it is oriented, it would have no significant patentable weight.

Continuation of 13. Other: Enclosed are copies of the 1449 forms of the IDS of 12/20/2001 and 4/1/2002.

THAO T. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Thao Tran